APPLICATION NUMBER MB/09/00189/FULL

LOCATION Hadenham Farm, Gravenhurst Road, Shillington

PROPOSAL Full: Residential Caravan (Retrospective)

PARISH Shillington
CASE OFFICER Mark Spragg
DATE REGISTERED 10 March 2009
EXPIRY DATE 05 May 2009
APPLICANT Mr J Murtagh

REASON FOR Request by Councillors Graham and Drinkwater.

COMMITTEE TO The circumstances have now changed as the owners have explored the possibility of installing

remote surveillance and this has proved to be

economically unrealistic.

RECOMMENDED

[Notes:

DECISION Refuse

REFUSE Planning Permission for the application set out above for the following reason(s):

1 U The proposal involves the provision of temporary residential accommodation, outside any defined Settlement Envelope, and for which no satisfactory justification has been made on grounds of functional need. As such the proposal is contrary to Planning Policy Statement 7 Annex A Paragraph 12.

•

(1) In advance of consideration of the Application the Committee was advised of additional consultation/publicity responses as follows:

Shillington PC – Supported the application subject to a temporary permission whilst the livery and equestrian business existed.

Gravenhurst PC – Considered that the need for such accommodation should have been thought of when planning permission for the livery business was first sought. No objection to temporary accommodation.

Council's Agricultural Advisor – The Councils Agricultural advisor concluded that there was "no equestrian need for retention of the proposed retention of the residential caravan as the Applicant and the equestrian worker lived in private dwellings in the nearby village of Upper Gravenhurst, which complied with paragraphs 1 and 12 (iv) of Annex A to PPS7".

The Council's Advisor also concluded that any additional monitoring considered necessary could be provided by an audible alarm or monitoring system linked back to the existing dwellings by telephone and this would be sufficient to alert the owners to any problem occurring with the horses. This would be considerably cheaper to install than the proposed CCTV system.

(2) In advance of consideration of the Application the Committee received representations made under the Public Participation Scheme.]